--- "Series of 1880" - "*HAMILTON*" Division - [H 6] - 3rd BABN Contract (1878-1886) ---

--- 1/20th pound - *lithographed* in BLACK on *block watermarked* vertical mesh wove ---

--- perforated 12 - 135 x 15 mm. - 4 mm. blue controls - scanned at 100% scale ---

--- Type III - with rosette and [NOT MORE THAN *1/20*] - inscribed *C. G. Fortier* / COLLECTOR ---

---

John McKay records that 1,203,000 *lithographed* "Not more than 1-20th, Black, Cigarettes" were 'delivered' under the **3rd BABN Contract** (1878-1886). Apparently he has grouped the 1/20th pound Type III black Division "Open" and Division "Specific" strips.

★**RC-15** - 1/20th pound, "Hamilton" Division - [**H 6**], black, control **036020**, perforated 12, lithographed on *block watermarked* vertical mesh wove paper

036002	RC-15	1/20th pound, perforated 12, block watermarked
036020★	RC-15	1/20th pound, perforated 12, block watermarked
036037	RC-15	1/20th pound, perforated 12, block watermarked
036043★	RC-15	1/20th pound, perforated 12, block watermarked
036085 - **96**	RC-15	1/20th pound, perforated 12, block watermarked - sheet of twelve, imperforate at the top and at the bottom
036119	RC-15	1/20th pound, perforated 12, block watermarked
036134	RC-15	1/20th pound, perforated 12, block watermarked
036136	RC-15	1/20th pound, perforated 12, block watermarked

★**RC-15n** - 1/20th pound, "Hamilton" Division - [**H 6**], black, *without* control numbering, perforated 12, *lithographed* on *block watermarked* vertical mesh wove paper

---

The collection ***does not contain*** a *control-numbered* copy of the *imperforate unwatermarked* 1/20th pound "Hamilton" Division strip, which is probably a copy which has simply missed the sheet block watermark. I can see no legitimate reason for the *control-numbered* existence of *imperforate* strips. Perhaps they had been control numbered, prior to the perforation process, and are simply unfinished remnants.

The collection ***does not contain*** a *control-numbered* copy of the *imperforate block watermarked* 1/20th pound "Hamilton" Division strip. I can see no legitimate reason for the *control-numbered* existence of *imperforate* strips. Perhaps they had been control numbered, prior to the perforation process, and are simply unfinished remnants.

★ 1/20th pound, “Hamilton” Division - [**H 6**], black, *without* control numbering, imperforate, lithographed on *block watermarked* vertical mesh wove paper - ***plus a single copy***

[★plus a sheet of twenty-four (2 x 12) strips - *none* of which could be clipped to appear as *unwatermarked*]

★ 1/20th pound, “Hamilton” Division - [**H 6**], black, *without* control numbering, imperforate, lithographed on *block watermarked* vertical mesh wove paper
[stamped **CANCELLED** in 3 mm. Gothic letters - a highly suspect stamping]

★ 1/20th pound, “Hamilton” Division - [**H 6**], black, *without* control numbering, imperforate, seemingly *unwatermarked*, but on *vertical* mesh wove paper, apparently the Lee Brandom *unwatermarked* stamp - this top copy has simply missed the sheet *block watermark*

File path is [c:\ CR - STAMPS \ RC_STAMPS \ 1880 \ Black \ Type III \ 1-20th Hamilton],
In MS Word 2007 for Windows 7,
Formatted for a HP CP 1525 Laser Jet,
December 4th 2013, JBH